WWW.ASTRI.ORG.AU

Solar Expanding-Vortex Particle Receiver (SEVR)

Alfonso Chinnici, Dominic Davis, Woei Saw, Maziar Arjomandi and Graham J. Nathan **Centre for Energy Technology, The University of Adelaide**

Solid particle solar receivers are attracting growing interest because of their potential to achieve outlet temperatures of over 800°C, which exceeds that of the current molten salt receivers. In power cycles, particles also offer potential for sensible and/or chemical storage, while they are also an inherent component of many chemical processes including gasification and minerals processing.

Why using Solar Vortex Receiver technology?

Potential benefits:

Potential challenges:

Flow-field and particle trajectories analysis



AUSTRALIAN SOLAR THERMAL RESEARCH INITIATIVE

ASTRI

- Highest efficiency among several solar receiver-reactors
- ✓ Successful demonstrated at labscale (e.g. gasification)
- ✓ Relevant to several reactors (e.g. flash calciners, entrained flow)

x Particle deposition onto the window x Limited understanding of the mechanisms controlling particle deposition

x Residence time distribution independent of particle size

Objective

- To develop a particle receiver with outlet temperature of >800°C with **85%** thermal efficiency at design point;
- To devise alternative configurations to mitigate current issues;
- To obtain a detailed understanding of:
 - Flow-field within a SVR [1] and SEVR [2]
 - > Dependence of the vortex structure on geometry
 - Mechanisms responsible for particle deposition

Solar Expanding-Vortex Particle Receiver

Key features:

- Vortex at the opposite end of chamber to aperture (Figure 1);
- Conical inlet to reduce swirl intensity at the aperture (Figure 1);
- It can be oriented vertically or horizontally.

Methodology

Experimental:

- Single phase velocity: Cobra probe in air (f = 1.25 kHz). Particle Image Velocimetry (laser diagnostic);
- Two-phase deposition: High precision balance (10⁻⁴ g).

Numerical:

• CFD Analysis - RANS approach, two-way coupling.

Conclusions

- The SEVR mitigates the disavantages of SVR (Figure 3):
 - \blacktriangleright Particle deposition rate on window is reduced by > 10 times;
 - > Large particles are preferentially recirculated within the chamber (Figure 1)





Figure 2: a) Tangential and b) axial velocity profiles within an SEVR [4].

Particle deposition analysis



- Particle deposition is inhibited by inhibiting fluid flow through the aperture. This occurs for $d_{vmax,ap}/d_{ap} > 1$ (low S_{ap} , Figure 3);
- Key parameters: Vortex core at the aperture plane and cone angle.

Figure 3: a) Measured and calculated particle deposition rate and b) regime diagram identifying the conditions under which particle deposition is inhibited [4].

AUTHOR CONTACT

- Alfonso Chinnici
- e alfonso.chinnici@adelaide.edu.au
- www.astri.org.au

REFERENCES

[1] Steinfeld, 2005. Solar Energy. 78 (5): 603-615. [2] Chinnici et al., 2015. Provisional Patent Application 2015903261. [3] Chinnici et al., 2015. Solar Energy 122: 58-75. [4] Chinnici et al., 2016. Solar Energy. Accepted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Australian Solar Thermal Research Initiative (ASTRI) program is supported by the Australian Government, through the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA).

